arXiv:1410.7646v2 [math.CV] 5 Dec 2014

A NOTE ON DIRICHLET-TYPE SPACES AND CYCLIC VECTORS IN THE UNIT BALL OF $\mathbb{C}^2$

ALAN SOLA

Abstract. We extend results of Bénéteau, Condori, Liaw, Seco, and Sola concerning cyclic vectors in Dirichlet-type spaces to the setting of the unit ball, identifying some classes of cyclic and non-cyclic functions, and noting the necessity of certain capacity conditions.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

1.1. Dirichlet-type spaces and cyclic vectors.

Let

$$\mathbb{B}^2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \colon |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < 1\}$$

denote the *unit ball* in $\mathbb{C}^2$, and let

$$\mathbb{S}^2 = \partial\mathbb{B}^2 = \{(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \colon |\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta_2|^2 = 1\}$$

be its boundary, the *unit sphere*. In this note, we are concerned with certain Hilbert spaces of analytic functions defined on the ball. Let $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$ be fixed. An analytic function $f \colon \mathbb{B}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ having power series expansion

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{k,l} z_1^k z_2^l \tag{1.1}$$

is said to belong to the *Dirichlet-type space* $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ if

$$\|f\|_\alpha^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2 + k + l)^\alpha \frac{k!l!}{(1 + k + l)!} |a_{k,l}|^2 < \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

General introductions to function theory in the ball can be found in [13, 14].

Remark 1.1. Since the ball is a connected Reinhardt domain containing the origin, any analytic function in $\mathbb{B}^2$ has a power series expansion of the form (1.1) that is valid in the unit ball.

The spaces we consider here represent one possible generalization to two variables of the one-variable *Dirichlet-type spaces* D_α (see [8]) consisting of analytic functions $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ in the unit disk having

$$\|f\|_{D_\alpha}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + 1)^\alpha |a_k|^2 < \infty.$$

The weights in the ℓ^2 norm in (1.2) are chosen in such a way that $\mathcal{D}_0$ and $\mathcal{D}_{-1}$ coincide with the usual *Hardy* and *Bergman spaces* of the ball, as in the one-variable setting. The norm in these spaces is usually defined via integrals over spheres

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 32A36, Secondary: 31C25, 47A13.
Key words and phrases. Dirichlet-type spaces, cyclic vectors, anisotropic capacities.

and balls, but using [13, Prop. 1.4.9] one readily verifies that (1.2) furnishes an equivalent norm for these spaces. The *Dirichlet space*, distinguished by its Möbius invariance properties and discussed in [14], corresponds to the parameter choice $\alpha = 2$ (in the unit disk and unit bidisk, we get the Dirichlet space when $\alpha = 1$). Some background material, including characterizations of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ involving radial derivatives, can be found in [1, 14, 10, 2, 11] and the references provided therein. When $\alpha > 2$, the spaces $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ are algebras; we will focus on the case $\alpha \leq 2$. It is apparent from the definition of the norm (1.2) that $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}_\beta$ if $\alpha \geq \beta$, and that polynomials in two complex variables are dense in all $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$.

We say that $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ is a *cyclic vector* if the closed invariant subspace

$$[f] = \text{clos span}\{z_1^k z_2^l f \colon k = 0, 1, \ldots, l = 0, 1, \ldots\}$$

coincides with $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$. As usual, invariance refers to joint invariance under the bounded linear operators $\{S_1, S_2\}$ induced by multiplication by the coordinate functions: $S_j \colon f \mapsto z_j \cdot f$, $j = 1, 2$.

The basic example of a cyclic function is $f(z_1, z_2) = 1$; its cyclicity is a consequence of the density of polynomials in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$. An immediate consequence is that $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ is cyclic if and only if there exists a sequence $(p_n)_n$ of polynomials in two variables such that $\|p_n f - 1\|_\alpha \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. At the other extreme, if a function $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ vanishes at some point $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{B}^2$, then f is not cyclic because $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ enjoys the *bounded point evaluation property* (BPE), and hence elements of the invariant subspace generated by a given function inherit all its zeros in $\mathbb{B}^2$. If $\alpha > 2$, then $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ is cyclic precisely when f does not vanish on $\overline{\mathbb{B}^2}$.

The purpose of this note is to continue the investigations carried out in the setting of the bidisk in [4, 5] by studying the spaces $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$. The ball $\mathbb{B}^2$ and the bidisk $\mathbb{D}^2 = \{(z_2, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \colon |z_1| < 1, |z_2| < 1\}$ are the most obvious two-dimensional analogs of the unit disk, but they are different in a number of ways as regards geometry and function theory. For instance, $\partial\mathbb{D}^2$ contains an abundance of analytic disks, whereas the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ contains no (non-trivial) analytic disks at all. Another important difference between the ball and the bidisk is that the topological and Shilov boundaries of the ball coincide, while the Shilov boundary of the bidisk can be identified with the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, which is much smaller than $\partial\mathbb{D}^2$. Nevertheless, the general flavor of the results and observations in this note is the same as in the bidisk paper [4], and the methods we use are essentially the same. For instance,

- we are able to observe quantitative differences in how fast $1/f$ can be approximated by polynomials for different classes of cyclic functions,
- there exist polynomials in two complex variables that do not vanish in $\mathbb{B}^2$, but are not cyclic in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ for certain values of α, and
- for an appropriate notion of capacity, $\text{cap}_\alpha(\mathcal{Z}(f) \cap \mathbb{S}^2) > 0$ implies that $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap A(\mathbb{B}^2)$ is *not* cyclic.

1.2. **Preliminaries.** Throughout, we shall write $\langle z, w\rangle = z_1\bar{w}_1 + z_2\bar{w}_2$ for the usual Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{C}^2$. We will use $d\sigma(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ to indicate integration with respect to the (induced) normalized surface measure on the sphere.

The following is standard, see [13, Prop. 1.4.9] or [14, Lemma 1.11].

Lemma 1.2. *The monomials $\{z_1^k z_2^l\}_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}}$ form an orthogonal set with respect to area measure in the ball and surface measure on the sphere, and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\zeta_1^k \zeta_2^l|^2 d\sigma(\zeta_1,\zeta_2) = \frac{k!l!}{(1+k+l)!}.$$

The latter formula appears naturally as part of the ℓ^2 weight in the norm definition (1.2). (In particular, monomials do not have norm 1 in $H^2(\mathbb{B}^2)$.)

One way of building analytic functions in $\mathbb{B}^2$ is to take the *Cauchy transform* of functions or measures on the sphere,

$$C[\mu](z_1,z_2) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{d\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)}{(1-\langle z,\overline{\zeta}\rangle)^2}, \quad (z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{B}^2. \tag{1.3}$$

(Our definition of the Cauchy transform differs from the usual one in featuring a complex conjugate; this will turn out to be convenient later on.)

If f belongs to $A(\mathbb{B}^2)$, the *ball algebra*, and if we let $d\mu/d\sigma = f|_{\mathbb{S}^2}$, then the radial limits of $C[\mu]$ coincide with the values of f on the sphere. The natural stronger notion of convergence in the ball, ie. the analog of non-tangential convergence, is given by the so-called *Korányi-* or *K-limit*, taken over approach regions of the form

$$\Gamma_a(\zeta) = \left\{(z_1,z_2)\in\mathbb{B}^2 \colon |1-\langle z,\zeta\rangle| < \frac{a}{2}(1-|z|^2)\right\} \quad (\zeta\in\mathbb{S}^2, a>1).$$

See [13, Chapter 5] for definitions and background material. In particular, it is known that any $f\in H^2(\mathbb{B}^2)$ has finite K-limits, and hence finite radial limits, almost everywhere on $\mathbb{S}^2$. The elements of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$, $\alpha>0$, then inherit this property. We shall return to exceptional sets towards the end of this note.

The spaces $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ are Hilbert spaces, hence self-dual, but they also admit a version of the $(D_\alpha)^* \cong D_{-\alpha}$ duality considered by Brown and Shields in [6]. The dual pairing in question is given by

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{k!l!}{(1+k+l)!} a_{k,l} b_{k,l} = \lim_{r\to 1} \int_{r\mathbb{S}^2} f(\zeta_1,\zeta_2) g(\bar{\zeta_1},\bar{\zeta_2}) d\sigma(\zeta_1,\zeta_2), \tag{1.4}$$

for $f = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l} z_1^k z_2^l \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ and $g = \sum_{k,l} b_{k,l} z_1^k z_2^l \in \mathcal{D}_{-\alpha}$. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality guarantees that this is indeed well-defined.

In what follows, we shall also need to consider spaces of analytic functions in one complex variable. In addition to the usual Dirichlet-type spaces D_α of the unit disk, we need the space d_α consisting of analytic functions $f\colon (1/\sqrt{2})\mathbb{D} = \{z\in\mathbb{C}\colon |z|<1/\sqrt{2}\}\to\mathbb{C}$ having

$$\|f\|_{d_\alpha}^2 = \int_0^{1/\sqrt{2}} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^2 (1-2r^2)^{1-\alpha} r dr d\theta < \infty.$$

An equivalent norm for d_α can be given in terms of Taylor coefficients:

$$\|f\|_{d_\alpha}^2 \asymp \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-k}(k+1)^\alpha |a_k|^2.$$

There is a natural identification between function theories of D_α and d_α, and one verifies that, *mutatis mutandis*, the results in [3] are valid for d_α.

Let P_n denote the vector spaces of polynomials in z having degree at most n, and let $\varphi_\alpha(n) = n^{1-\alpha}$ (where $n=1,2,\ldots$) for $\alpha\in[0,1)$, and take $\varphi_1(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-1}$.

In [3], the sharp estimate

$$\operatorname{dist}_{D_\alpha}(1, f\cdot P_n) = \inf_{p\in P_n} \|p\cdot f - 1\|_{D_\alpha} \asymp \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(n+1) \quad (n\to\infty) \tag{1.5}$$

was obtained for $f \in D_\alpha$ that have no zeros inside the unit disk, admit an analytic continuation to a strictly bigger disk, and have at least one zero on $\mathbb{T}$ (see [3, Thm 3.7]). In what follows, we shall refer to this as condition NZAC. Functions in D_α satisfying NZAC were previously known to be cyclic and the novelty in [3] was the determination of the optimal rate of decay for $\operatorname{dist}_{D_\alpha}(1, f\cdot P_n)$. The reason for requiring $\mathcal{Z}(f)\cap\mathbb{T}\neq\emptyset$ is that any function that is non-vanishing on the *closed* unit disk is cyclic, and the associated quantity $\operatorname{dist}_{D_\alpha}(1, f\cdot P_n)$ exhibits exponential decay, or better; this can be seen by examining the truncated power series of $1/f$.

2. One-variable functions and small zero sets

One of the main differences between the ball and the bidisk is that the latter is a product domain, while the former is not, and the spaces $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ are not tensor products of one-variable Dirichlet spaces: if $f = f(z_1)$ and $g = g(z_2)$, then, in general, $\|E_1(f)E_2(g)\|_\alpha \neq \|f\|_{D_\alpha}\cdot\|g\|_{D_\alpha}$. (Example: $f = z_1$, $g = z_2$.) Here, E_j $(j = 1, 2)$ are operators of extension: given a one-variable function, set

$$E_j(f)(z_1, z_2) = f(z_j), \quad (z_1, z_2)\in\mathbb{B}^2.$$

We also note that the unit disk can be identified with the subset

$$\{(z_1, z_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2 \colon |z_1| < 1, z_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{B}^2,$$

and hence the operator of restriction,

$$R_1(f)(z_1) = f(z_1, 0), \quad z_1\in\mathbb{D},$$

is well-defined, and $R_1\circ E_1$ is the identity operator.

In what follows, $\mathcal{P}_n$ denotes the space of two-variable polynomials of degree at most $2n$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\alpha\le 2$, and suppose $f\in D_{\alpha-1}$ satisfies condition NZAC. Then the function $E_1(f)$ is cyclic in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$, and $\operatorname{dist}^2_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}(1, f\cdot\mathcal{P}_n)\asymp\varphi^{-1}_{\alpha-1}(n+1)$.*

If $f, g\in D_{\alpha-1}$ satisfy NZAC and both $E_1(f)$ and $E_2(g)$ are multipliers, then the product of $E_1(f)(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1)$ and $E_2(g)(z_1, z_2) = g(z_2)$ is cyclic for all $\alpha\le 2$. We note that a function that satisfies the hypotheses above is not cyclic in any $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ with $\alpha > 2$ since it vanishes in $\overline{\mathbb{B}^2}$. Theorem 2.1 is a straight-forward consequence of (1.5) and the following easy norm comparison (no doubt well-known to experts, see [13] for the Hardy/Bergman case).

Proposition 2.2. *If $f\in D_{\alpha-1}$, then $\|E_1(f)\|_\alpha\asymp\|f\|_{D_{\alpha-1}}$.*

Proof. This is completely elementary: we have

$$\|z_1\|^2_\alpha = (2+k)^\alpha\frac{k!}{(1+k)!}\asymp(1+k)^\alpha\cdot\frac{1}{1+k} = (k+1)^{\alpha-1},$$

and the result follows by orthogonality of monomials. □

Example. Consider the functions $f(z_1, z_2) = 1 - z_1$ and $g(z_1, z_2) = (1 - z_1)(1 - z_2)$. None of these functions vanishes in the ball, and we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(f)\cap\mathbb{S}^2 = \{(1, 0)\} \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{Z}(g)\cap\mathbb{S}^2 = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\},$$

so that both zero sets are discrete (unlike in the bidisk where $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ gives rise to an analytic disk in $\partial\mathbb{D}^2$). By Theorem 2.1, the functions f and g are cyclic in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \leq 2$, but are not cyclic for $\alpha > 2$.

Next, we examine the polynomial $f^\sharp(z_1, z_2) = 1 - (z_1 + z_2)/\sqrt{2}$, which is irreducible and has $\mathcal{Z}(f^\sharp) \cap \mathbb{S}^2 = \{(2^{-1/2}, 2^{-1/2})\}$. This function is not a product of one-variable functions, but letting

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

we note that $f^\sharp(z_1, z_2) = f(U(z_1, z_2)^T) = (f \circ U)(z_1, z_2)$. Now if p is a polynomial, then so is $p \circ U^{-1}$, and

$$\|p \cdot f^\sharp - 1\|_\alpha = \|(p \circ U^{-1}) \cdot f - 1\|_\alpha,$$

since the $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ norm is invariant under unitary transformations. Thus the quantity $\operatorname{dist}_\alpha(1, f^\sharp \cdot \mathcal{P}_n)$ is of the same order as for f, and in particular $f^\sharp$ is cyclic in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \leq 2$.

The preceding example shows that $\operatorname{dist}^2_\alpha(1, f \cdot \mathcal{P}_n) \asymp \varphi^{-1}_{\alpha-1}(n+1)$ can occur also for functions of two variables whose zero sets are small, so that this particular rate of decay reflects the size of $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ rather than the algebraic property of being a polynomial in one variable only.

We refer the reader to [9] for more results on functions in the ball algebra that vanish at a single point of $\mathbb{S}^2$.

3. Diagonal subspaces and zero curves

We now identify further classes of cyclic functions. We let $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$ denote the closed subspace of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z_1 z_2)^k.$$

Given $f \in \mathcal{J}_\alpha$, we can produce a one-variable function by applying the operator $\mathcal{R}\colon \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{B}^2) \to \operatorname{Hol}((1/\sqrt{2})\mathbb{D})$ which takes f to

$$\mathcal{R}(f)(z_1) = f(z_1/\sqrt{2}), \quad z_1 \in (1/\sqrt{2})\mathbb{D}.$$

This is well-defined because

$$2|z_1 z_2| = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 - (|z_1| - |z_2|)^2 \leq 1$$

for all $(z_1, z_2) \in \overline{\mathbb{B}^2}$. Geometrically speaking, we are looking at a disk embedded in the ball–but not in a coordinate plane–and then restricting f to that disk. Similarly, a function $f \in d_\alpha$ can be mapped into $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha+1/2}$ via

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(z_1, z_2) = f(\sqrt{2} z_1 \cdot z_2), \quad (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{B}^2.$$

Composing $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ yields the respective identity operators on d_α and $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $f \in \mathcal{J}_\alpha$, and suppose $\mathcal{R}(f)$ satisfies condition NZAC. Then f is cyclic in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ precisely when $\alpha \leq 3/2$, and $\operatorname{dist}^2_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}(1, f \cdot \mathcal{P}_n) \asymp \varphi^{-1}_{\alpha-1/2}(n+1)$.*

Theorem 3.1 follows from the results in [3], adapted to $d_{\alpha-1/2}$, and norm comparisons between two-variable and one-variable spaces; the high-level arguments are described in [4]. The crucial point is the following result, which is a counterpart to [4, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 3.2. *If $f \in \mathcal{J}_\alpha$, then $\|f\|_\alpha \asymp \|\mathcal{R}(f)\|_{d_{\alpha-1/2}}$.*

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{J}_\alpha$, then

$$\|f\|_\alpha^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2+2k)^\alpha \frac{(k!)^2}{(1+2k)!} |a_k|^2 \asymp \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^\alpha \left[\frac{(k!)^2}{(1+2k)!}\right] |a_k|^2.$$

Appealing to standard asymptotic expansions of factorials, we find that

$$\frac{(k!)^2}{(1+2k)!} = \begin{pmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \frac{1}{1+2k} \asymp 4^{-k} k^{-1/2}, \tag{3.1}$$

so that $\|f\|_\alpha^2 \asymp \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 4^{-k}(k+1)^{\alpha-1/2}|a_k|^2$. The latter expression coincides with (a multiple of) the norm in $d_{\alpha-1/2}$ of $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k/2} a_k z^k$, which can be identified with the restriction $\mathcal{R}(f)$. □

Example. Consider the polynomial $f(z_1, z_2) = 1 - 2z_1 z_2$. We check that

$$\mathcal{Z}(f) \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}^2} = \mathcal{Z}(f) \cap \mathbb{S}^2 = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}\right) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \right\},$$

which can be viewed as a curve contained in the unit sphere. By Theorem 3.1, f is cyclic in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \leq 3/2$, and not cyclic for $\alpha > 3/2$. This is analogous to the situation in the bidisk, where $1 - z_1 z_2$ fails to be cyclic in $\mathfrak{D}_\alpha$, $\alpha > 1/2$.

Armed with this result, we deduce cyclicity, for the same range of α, also for $f^\flat(z_1, z_2) = 1 - z_1^2 + z_2^2$ since $f^\flat = f \circ U$ for the unitary (2.1). In this case $f^\flat \notin \mathcal{J}_\alpha$, but the zero set is again a curve $\mathcal{Z}(f^\flat \cap \mathbb{S}^2) = \{(\sin\theta, i\cos\theta) \colon \theta \in [0, 2\pi))\}$, and the cyclicity properties of $f^\flat$ reflect this.

Remark 3.3. The situation in the ball thus mirrors the bidisk case: when the spaces are algebras ($\alpha > 1$ in the bidisk, $\alpha > 2$ in the ball) functions have to be non-vanishing in the closure of the domain in order to be cyclic, but there is a regime ($\alpha > 1/2$ in the bidisk, and $\alpha > 3/2$ in the ball) where some polynomials that vanish on the Shilov boundary are cyclic, while others are not. In view of our examples, it is natural to ask for a characterization of cyclic polynomials analogous to that achieved in [5]. This looks like a harder problem in the ball because of the absence of determinantal representations.

4. Cauchy transforms and capacity of zero sets

One way of identifying non-cyclic vectors in Dirichlet-type spaces is to employ the following scheme due to Brown and Shields. Put a measure on $\mathcal{Z}(f^*)$, the zero set in the sphere of the radial limits of a given $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, and consider its Cauchy transform. By examining the integral version of the dual pairing discussed earlier, and arguing as in [6], we find that the Cauchy transform under consideration annihilates all polynomial multiples of f. Hence, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, we can conclude that $[f] \neq \mathcal{D}_\alpha$—provided we know that the Cauchy transform belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{-\alpha}$ (regarded here as the dual of $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$).

Example. Here is a trivial example. Consider the function $f(z_1, z_2) = 1 - z_1$, which is cyclic for $\alpha \leq 2$. The natural Cauchy transform associated with its zero set is $C[\delta_{(1,0)}](z_1, z_2) = (1 - z_1)^{-2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1)z_1^k$, which is in $\mathcal{D}_{-\alpha}$ for every $\alpha > 2$, and since $\langle f, C[\delta_{(1,0)}]\rangle = 0$ by direct computation, this reproves that f is not cyclic when $\alpha > 2$.

A more interesting example is the Cauchy transform of the integration current associated with $\mathcal{Z}(1 - 2z_1z_2)$: in that case

$$C[\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}](z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(1 - (z_1e^{i\theta} - z_2e^{-i\theta})/\sqrt{2})^2}.$$

We expand the integrand and obtain

$$C[\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}](z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k/2}(k+1)\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{i(2j-k)\theta}\,\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\right) z_1^j z_2^{k-j}.$$

The integral on the right-hand side is equal to zero unless $2j = k$, in which case its value is equal to 1. Hence

$$C[\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}](z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1+2k)!}{(k!)^2}\left(\frac{z_1z_2}{2}\right)^k = \frac{1}{(1 - 2z_1z_2)^{3/2}}.$$

Using the asymptotic expansion of the ratio of factorials in (3.1), we find that

$$\|C[\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}]\|_{-\alpha}^2 \asymp \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1)^{-\alpha+1/2},$$

We have convergence, and hence non-cyclicity of f, provided $\alpha > 3/2$.

For a Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu^*(m,n) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\zeta_1^m\zeta_2^n d\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mu}^*(m,n) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\overline{\zeta_1}^m\overline{\zeta_2}^n d\mu(\zeta_1,\zeta_2).$$

If μ is a real measure, then $\bar{\mu}^*(m,n) = \overline{\mu^*(m,n)}$.

Lemma 4.1. *If μ is a Borel measure on $\mathbb{S}^2$, then*

$$\|C[\mu]\|_{-\alpha}^2 \asymp \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(k+1)^{1-\alpha}\left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array}\right)|\mu^*(j, k-j)|^2.$$

Proof. The Cauchy kernel has expansion $(1 - \langle z, \bar{\zeta}\rangle)^{-2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1)\langle z, \zeta\rangle^k$, and expanding the powers of the inner product, we obtain that

$$C[\mu](z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(k+1)\left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array}\right)\bar{\mu}^*(j, k-j)z_1^j z_2^{k-j}.$$

Computing the $\mathcal{D}_{-\alpha}$ norm, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|C[\mu]\|_{-\alpha} \\
&\quad = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{j!(k-j)!}{(1+j+k-j)!}(2+j+k-j)^{-\alpha}\left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array}\right)^2 (k+1)^2|\mu^*(j,k-j)|^2 \\
&\qquad \asymp \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(k+1)^{2-\alpha}\left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array}\right)\frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!}\frac{j!(k-j)!}{(1+k)!}|\mu^*(j,k-j)|^2 \\
&\qquad\qquad \asymp \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(k+1)^{1-\alpha}\left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array}\right)|\mu^*(j,k-j)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In the disk and bidisk, capacitary conditions provide us with a way of checking whether Cauchy transforms of measures supported on the zero set of a function are in the right dual spaces. In the case of the bidisk, the appropriate capacities live on the distinguished boundary, and are of product type. There is an analogous notion of capacity for the ball, adapted to (the square of) the natural distance function of the sphere,

$$d(\zeta,\eta) = |1-\langle\zeta,\eta\rangle|^{1/2}, \quad \zeta=(\zeta_1,\zeta_2),\ \eta=(\eta_1,\eta_2)\in\mathbb{S}^2.$$

Applying a positive kernel to a distance function is of course a standard construction in potential theory (see eg. [8]).

Definition 4.2 (Riesz α-capacity for the sphere.)**.** Let μ be a Borel probability measure supported on some Borel set $E\subset\mathbb{S}^2$. Set

$$h_\alpha(t) = \left\{\begin{array}{cc} t^{\alpha-2}, & \alpha\in(0,2), \\ \log(e/t), & \alpha=2 \end{array}\right. .$$

The *Riesz α-energy* of μ is given by

$$I_\alpha[\mu] = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} h_\alpha(|1-\langle\zeta,\eta\rangle|)d\mu(\zeta)d\mu(\eta).$$

The *Riesz α-capacity* of a Borel set E is $\mathrm{cap}_\alpha(E) = \inf\{I_\alpha[\mu]\colon \mu\in\mathcal{P}(E)\}^{-1}$. The set E is said to have α-capacity 0 if there does not exist any probability measure, with support in E, that has finite α-energy.

These anisotropic capacities, as well as closely related variants, appear in works of Ahern and Cohn, and others, on function theory on the ball, see eg. [1, 12]; the parametrizations are sometimes slightly different. In particular, one can show (see [1, 7]) that any $f\in\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ has finite radial limits (and even K-limits) f^* on $\mathbb{S}^2$, except possibly on a set E_f having $\mathrm{cap}_\alpha(E_f)=0$.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose μ is a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{S}^2$. Then*

$$I_2[\mu] = 1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array}\right)|\mu^*(j,k-j)|^2 \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Noting that $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ when $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^2$, we expand $h_2(|1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle|)$ as

$$\log \frac{e}{|1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle|} = 1 + \operatorname{Re}\left(\log \frac{1}{1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle} \right) = 1 + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle^k.$$

Thus, for $0 < r < 1$, we can use the positivity of μ to write

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \log \frac{e}{|1 - r\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle|} d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(\eta) = 1 + \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{k} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle^k d\mu(\zeta) d\mu(\eta),$$

and the powers of $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle$ can further be expanded using the binomial formula.

Using the fact that we have $\mu^*(m,n)\bar{\mu}^*(m,n) = |\mu^*(m,n)|^2$, the proof now proceeds along the lines of [8, Thm. 2.4.4], with Fourier coefficients of a measure replaced by $\mu^*(m,n)$. □

Combining Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3, we arrive at a necessary condition for cyclicity in the Dirichlet space (see [6, 4] for the disk and bidisk versions).

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose $f \in \mathcal{D}$ has $\operatorname{cap}_2(\mathcal{Z}(f^*)) > 0$. Then f is not cyclic in the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$.*

Remark 4.5. For α-capacities, the counterpart to the energy formula (4.1) is no longer a strict equality; rather (see [12] for computations of Fourier coefficients associated with the kernels h_α),

$$I_\alpha[\mu] \asymp \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (k+1)^{1-\alpha} \binom{k}{j} |\mu^*(j, k-j)|^2.$$

A comparison with Lemma 4.1 shows that $\operatorname{cap}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{Z}(f^*)) > 0$ implies that f is not cyclic in $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$.

Acknowledgment. The author thanks Brett Wick for pointing out several useful references, and Daniel Seco for helpful remarks on an earlier version of this note.

References

[1] P. Ahern and W. Cohn, Exceptional sets for Hardy Sobolev functions, $p > 1$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **38** (1989), 417-453.
[2] F. Beatrous and J. Burbea, On multipliers for Hardy-Sobolev spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 2125-2133.
[3] C. Bénéteau, A.A. Condori, C. Liaw, D. Seco, and A.A. Sola, Cyclicity in Dirichlet-type spaces and extremal polynomials, J. Anal. Math., to appear.
[4] C. Bénéteau, A.A. Condori, C. Liaw, D. Seco, and A.A. Sola, Cyclicity in Dirichlet-type spaces and extremal polynomials II: functions on the bidisk. Preprint, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.4094.
[5] C. Bénéteau, G. Knese, Ł. Kosiński, C. Liaw, D. Seco, and A. Sola, Cyclic polynomials in two variables. Preprint, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.3616.
[6] L. Brown and A. Shields, Cyclic vectors in the Dirichlet space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984), 269-304.
[7] W.S. Cohn and I.E. Verbitsky, Nonlinear potential theory on the ball, with applications to exceptional and boundary interpolation sets, Michigan Math. J. **42** (1995), 79-97.
[8] O. El-Fallah, K. Kellay, J. Mashreghi, T. Ransford, A primer on the Dirichlet space, Cambridge Tracts in Math **203**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014.
[9] H. Hedenmalm, Closed ideals in the ball algebra, Bull. London Math. Soc. **21** (1989), 469-474.
[10] S. Li, Some new characterizations of Dirichlet-type spaces on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **324** (2006), 1073-1083.

[11] M. Michalska, On Dirichlet type spaces in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **65** (2011), 78-86.
[12] D. Pestana and J.R. Rodríguez, Capacity distortion by inner functions in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, Michigan Math. J. **44** (1996), 125-137.
[13] W. Rudin, Function theory in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, Springer-Verlag, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **241**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
[14] K. Zhu, Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **226**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK
E-mail address: a.sola@statslab.cam.ac.uk